## FILED

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION

APR 16 2018

SUSAN Y SOONG

United States o	f America,	Case No. 18 - 7050	NORTHERN DISTRICT COURT OAKLAND
	Plaintiff, )	STIPULATED ORDER EXTIME UNDER THE SPEE	
V. Auron Jan	Defendant.		
Trial Act from continuance ou	stated by the parties on the record on $\frac{\langle 1 \rangle \langle 1 \rangle \langle 1 \rangle}{\langle 1 \rangle \langle 1 $	, and finds that the end and the defendant in a speed	ls of justice served by the ly trial. See 18 U.S.C. §
	Failure to grant a continuance would See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(I).	d be likely to result in a mis	carriage of justice.
	The case is so unusual or so complete defendants, the nature of the prosector law, that it is unreasonable to exitself within the time limits established.	eution, or the existence of no pect adequate preparation for	vel questions of fact r pretrial proceedings or the trial
-	Failure to grant a continuance would taking into account the exercise of		The state of the s
<u> </u>	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant continuity of counsel, given counsel's other scheduled case commitments, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).		
	Failure to grant a continuance would unreasonably deny the defendant the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).		
	For the reasons stated on the record 3161(b) and waived with the conser 5.1(c) and (d).		
	For the reasons stated on the record 3161(h)(1)(E)(F) for delay resulting		•
IT IS SO ORDERED.			
DATED: 4/16/16  Kandis A. Westmore			

STIPULATED:

Attorney for Defendant

United States Magistrate Judge

Assistant United States Attorney